



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,609	02/10/2004	Kurt Schmidt	118326	1674
25944 75	90 03/10/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			BRINSON, PATRICK F	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ADDAMS IN 22020			3754	

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/774,609	SCHMIDT, KURT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Patrick F. Brinson	3754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status ·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,10 and 12-15</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-9 and 11</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		•			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate.			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	. 🗖 .	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/774,609 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 10, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by 5,950,682 to **Kiest, Jr**.

The patent to **Kiest, Jr.** discloses an apparatus for repairing the junction of a branch line and a header comprising a packer comprising a first inflatable sleeve (16) that delimits an interior space, a second inflatable sleeve (14) connected to the first inflatable sleeve, a collar region (34) disposed at the transition between the first inflatable sleeve. At least one tunnel (18) extending from an axial end region of the first inflatable sleeve within the interior space and at least one feed line (58) disposed in the tunnel for delivering a sealing material to a desired location, as recited in claim 1. The first inflatable sleeve (16) contacts an interior wall of the header (60) when in an inflated state and the second inflatable sleeve (14) protrudes from the first sleeve and contacts an interior wall of the branch line (62) when in an inflated state, as recited in claim 2. The packer includes a drawing element (32) disposed in the interior space

Art Unit: 3754

having a first end connected to the second inflatable sleeve for drawing the second inflatable sleeve into the interior space by inversion when the interior space delimited by the first inflatable sleeve is deflated, as recited in claim 10. The packer is coupled to a vehicle for positioning it within the header, as recited in claim 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3, 4, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '682 Kiest, Jr. in view of 6,276,398 to Lange.

The patent to **Kiest, Jr**. discloses the recited structure with the exception of inflating the sleeves through the introduction of compressed air and providing a camera within the first inflatable sleeve. The patent to **Lange** discloses an inflatable packer for repairing conduits including, figs. 7-11, a first inflatable sleeve (330) and a second inflatable sleeve (331), where upon inflation the first sleeve contacts an inside wall of header and the second inflatable sleeve contacts an inside of the branch line

Application/Control Number: 10/774,609

Art Unit: 3754

Page 4

when inflated. Lange discloses the use of compressed air from air pump unit (90). Lange also discloses the use of a camera (22) that is shown on the second inflatable bladder, but col. 5, lines 53-55 discloses an inner tray (31) provided in a recess compartment for storing the camera before inflation of the sleeves. Col. 6, lines 39-43, discloses that a camera may be directly provided onto the inner tray (31) or control rod of the packer to allow for precise location of the rupture and the subsequent ability to repair that rupture immediately, thus allowing for a 100% accurate placement of the packer at the point of repair. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute for fluid of Kiest, Jr., compressed air and to provide to the device of Kiest, Jr. a camera located within the first inflatable sleeve, both as suggested by Lange in order to alternatively inflate the sleeves, wherein both means of inflation are known in the art to inflate the sleeves and to accurately locate the rupture or branch line.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5-9 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3754

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Taylor, Wood et al., Wood '629, '481, Schreiner et al., Polivka et al., Tweedie et al., '725 and '726 are all pertinent to Applicant's invention in disclosing inflatable pipe repair packers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Patrick F. Brinson** whose telephone number is (571) 272-4897. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Michael Y. Mar** can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

Patrick F. Brinson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

P. F. Brinson March 7, 2005